

**5 Training
14 Hours**

**85 Subscribers
88 Participants**


**76,1% (n=67)
Response Rate**

**99% AVERAGE
RECOMMEND THIS
TRAINING**

MAIN INDICATORS


	No. Subscribers	No. Participants	No. Answers	Response Rate
<i>Part A and Budget Calculation</i>	21	10	10	100,0%
<i>Part B - Excellence</i>	17	18	13	72,2%
<i>Parte B - Impact</i>	16	19	14	73,7%
<i>Parte B - Implementation</i>	17	19	13	68,4%
<i>Exploring AI's Potential in Writing Proposals</i>	14	22	17	77,3%
Total	85	88	67	78,3%

GENERAL SATISFACTION INDICATORS¹




¹ **Satisfação Geral** medida numa escala de 1 – Nada Satisfeito a 7 – Totalmente Satisfeito. **Expetativas correspondidas** medida numa escala de 1 – Totalmente não correspondida a 7 – Totalmente correspondida. **Relação com a atividade profissional** medida numa escala de 1 – Totalmente relacionada a 7 – Totalmente não relacionada.

SPECIFIC SATISFACTION INDICATORS ²



RECOMENDATION OF TRAINING ACTIONS



² **Indicadores específicos de satisfação** medidos numa escala de 1 – Nada Satisfeito a 7 – Totalmente Satisfeito, e aplicados à totalidade das ações de formação.

POSITIVE ASPECTS

- "A VERY USEFUL AND PRACTICAL TRAINING";
- AWARENESS OF METHODS AND TOOLS, WITH CONCRETE EXAMPLES AND HANDS-ON;
- ENGAGEMENT;
- INTERACTIVE TRAINING;
- RELEVANCE OF CONTENT;
- VERY CLEAR, WITH MANY SPECIFIC TIPS FOR STRATEGIES HOW TO THINK ABOUT WRITING EACH SECTION AND ORGANIZE THE WORK.

ASPECTS TO BE IMPROVED

- A TRAINING WITH MORE EXERCISES;
- BETTER TIME MANAGEMENT;
- CLEARER SYSTEMATIZATION OF THE INFORMATION (IT IS A LOT OF INFORMATION AND IN SOME PARTS, THE DISCLOSURE OF THE INFORMATION COULD BE MORE ORGANIZED);
- IN PERSON WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER;
- PROBLEMS WITH THE ONLINE PLATFORM;
- SOMETIMES TOO MUCH TIME IS USED REPEATING THINGS THAT ARE CLEAR IN THE INTERFACE;
- THE TRAINERS DON'T NEED TO WAIT FOR PEOPLE THAT ARE LATE TO START THE TRAINING. IF PEOPLE KNOW THE TRAINING STARTS ON TIME, THEY WILL BE ON TIME ON THE SECOND DAY;
- THE TRAINING WAS AMAZING, BUT EACH TRAINING SHOULD BE SHORTER, DUE TO OUR FULL SCHEDULE;
- THE WAY IT IS PRESENTED AND FOCUS ON THE PROPOSAL WRITING.

OTHER TOPICS OF INTEREST

- MORE DEPTH AND EXAMPLES FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICATIONS;
- PREPARE A TRAINING FOCUSED ON THE NATIONAL SCIENTIFIC FOUNDATION;
- MC STAFF EXCHANGES; PERHAPS MORE ABOUT AI USE FOR WRITING AID;
- PRACTICAL ADVICE FOR TEAM COORDINATORS AND WORK PACKAGE COORDINATORS;
- MORE AI TOOLS;
- FURTHER CALLS;
- REVIEWING PROPOSALS;
- THE INFORMATION IN THE SCIENTIFIC PAPERS WE CAN ACCESS.

SUGGESTIONS, COMPLAINTS AND COMPLIMENTS

- "IF ANY SOFTWARE IS USED, ITS INSTALLATION SHOULD BE REQUESTED BEFORE THE COURSE. A LARGER TIME SLOT TO ADDRESS THIS TOPIC.";
- CONTINUING WITH THE EXCELLENT WORK;
- HELPING WITH WRITING SCIENTIFIC PROPOSALS FOR THE NEXT NATIONAL CALL;
- THANK FOR YOUR TIME AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING! MOSTLY APPRECIATED!;
- THIS WAS THE BEST GRANT TRAINING PROGRAMME I HAVE ATTENDED SO FAR. USUALLY, THEY REMAIN A BIT GENERAL AND VAGUE, AND AS SUCH MORE USEFUL TO SOMEONE THAT DIDN'T READ THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE CALL. THIS PROGRAM TAUGHT US THINGS IN-BETWEEN THE LINES THAT ARE GATHERED BY EXPERIENCE WITH HE. AS I AM COORDINATING A HE RIA APPLICATION AT THE MOMENT, THIS GAVE ME CONFIDENCE AND STRATEGY ON HOW TO ORGANIZE THE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PARTNERS.